

Peebles & District Community Council

Planning Report

Thu 14 May 2026

Planning Reports represent the views of the Community Council (whereas Draft Planning Reports represent the views of the writer).

Key: **Red – important**; **Blue – new**; Black – unchanged since last report.
For those who wish to study more detail, [hyperlinks](#) have been provided.

1.0 General

- 1.1 **Caledonian Court (previously Tweedbridge)** – In progress
- 1.2 **Baptist Church Building** – Being taken forward by [Tweed Valley Community Collective](#)
- 1.3 **Victoria Park Centre** – No change
- 1.4 **Is SBC abiding by the Habitats Regulations?** Habitats Regulations protect the Tweed SAC, requiring SBC to ensure – beyond doubt based on scientific evidence – that proposals will not harm European Protected Species (EPS) **before** granting planning permission (section 5, [Nov 2025](#)).
 - 1.4.1 [26 Feb 2025](#) PCC set out concerns that planners appeared not to follow SBC guidance and may have breached Habitats Regulations in consideration of South Parks storage [24/01016/FUL](#).
 - 1.4.2 [4 Mar 2025](#) SBC CEO promised a review but didn't otherwise reply.
- 1.5 **Is SBC appropriately publishing objections raising legal concerns?**
 - 1.5.1 [9 July 2025](#) Environmental Rights Centre for Scotland (ERCS) write to planners asking whether Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) has been complied with for Kingsmeadows. SBC publish that letter.
 - 1.5.2 [3 Nov 2025](#) ERCS wrote to SBC explaining the legal requirement for HRA for Kingsmeadows & that in their view approval would give grounds for judicial review. SBC did not publish that letter on the planning portal (as a result, it was not included in the “objection comments” pdf for the local review).
 - 1.5.3 [12 Feb 2026](#) SBC publicly say “the correspondence was concerned not with the merits of the proposal but with the processes by which the application was being considered.” In PCC’s view, the merits of a proposal cannot be separated from relevant acts and regulations.
 - 1.5.4 PCC notes its concern that [Harper Macleod advice](#) not included in Kingsmeadows officers’ reports in view of its obvious importance.
Cllrs Pirone and Tatler raising this with SBC.
- 1.6 **Is SBC appropriately answering PCC complaints / correspondence?**
 - 1.6.1 [17 Nov 2025](#) PCC complained to SBC re environmental protection.
29 Jan 2026 ERCS complain re failure to give substantive response
25 Nov 2025 [SBC promise response by 23 Dec 2025](#).
18 Dec 2025 [SBC promise response by 28 Jan 2026](#).
27 Jan 2026 [SBC promise response by 11 Feb 2026](#).
11 Feb 2026 [SBC promise response by 25 Feb 2026](#).
25 Feb 2026 [SBC promise response by 30 Mar 2026](#).
[23 Apr 2026 SBC replied](#), apologising for two failures to reply and otherwise upholding no part of PCC’s complaint.
 - 1.6.2 PCC submitted 4 consultation responses re Ballantyne Place ([29 Jul 2025](#), [7 Aug 2025](#), [18 Aug 2025](#) and [22 Aug 2025](#)) requesting clarification of legal issues (see 2.2 [Nov 2025](#)) and seeking delay.
[14 Aug 2025](#) SBC refused to delay, promising Legal clarification.

Peebles & District Community Council

Planning Report

Thu 14 May 2026

[10 Sep 2025](#) PCC wrote to SBC Legal asking for clarification.
No response received to date.

1.7 Do planners follow democratic decisions made by SBC councillors?

1.7.1 With the refusal of Kingsmeadows applications 24/00030/FUL and 24/00031/FUL, all the issues for 24/00247/FUL have been decided by Scottish Borders Council's elected planning committee, and all of the appeal processes to DPEA and LRB have run their course.

1.7.2 In PCC's opinion, the only rational, democratic recommendation to Committee in respect of 24/00247/FUL is to recommend refusal. However, that recommendation has not been made.

1.7.3 **SBC are yet to publish the [objection from Balfour Manson solicitors on the portal for 24/00247/FUL](#).**

2.0 Planning Applications – Current Interest

2.1 **3 requests to renew expired Planning Permission in Principle (PPP)** with changes to conditions – Kingsmeadows House (Granton Homes).

Circa 250 objections, including from this Community Council.

[24/00030/FUL](#) (condition 2) **Refused 9 Dec 2024**.

[24/00031/FUL](#) (condition 7) **Refused 23 Feb 2026**.

[24/00247/FUL](#) (conditions 2&7) **Awaiting decision**.

26 Mar 2024 [Harper Macleod legal advice](#) section 42 cannot be used to renew expired Permission in Principle [19/00182/PPP](#).

9 Dec 2024 [Planning committee refuse](#) 24/00030/FUL.

20 Dec 2024 [PCC submission](#) calls for rejection of 24/00031/FUL & 24/00247/FUL for consistency with 24/00030/FUL.

24 Mar 2025 DPEA refuse appeal [PPA-140-2107](#) of 24/00030/FUL.

9 Jul 2025 [ERCS writes to SBC](#) over Habitats Regs concerns and whether these applications will be approved without proper prior consideration of potential effects on River Tweed SAC.

14 Jul 2025 [SBC refuse to publish ERCS letter](#) but promise a reply.

21 July 2025 [PCC objects](#) based on NatureScot & Ecologist replies

29 July 2025 [Peebles Civic Society objects](#) on same grounds.

22 Sep 2025 [SBC tell Granton](#) "a recommendation would be made under delegated powers to refuse permission" (published 25 Nov).

17 Oct 2025 [SBC confirm to ERCS](#) that HRA not carried out.

3 Nov 2025 [ERCS write to SBC](#) warning of potential breach of Habitats Regulations asking if "Council will reconsider its position."

11 Dec 2025 DPEA refuse appeal [PPA-140-2109](#) of 24/00031/FUL.

31 Dec 2025 PCC submit [comprehensive objection to 24/00031/FUL](#) and [consolidated comprehensive objection to 24/00247/FUL](#).

23 Feb 2026 [LRB refuse](#) 24/00031/FUL on appeal ([25/00041/RNONDT](#)).

12 Mar 2026 Now that all the issues concerning changes to conditions 2 and 7 have been refused in applications 24/00030/FUL and 24/00031/FUL respectively and the appeals to LRB and DPEA have run their course, we call on planners to abide by the democratic decisions of our elected councillors and refuse 24/00247/FUL on the same grounds ([PCC objection](#)).

2 Apr 2026 [Objection from Balfour Manson](#) (not yet published on portal).

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

- 2.2 **20 dwellinghouses, Land West of Horsbrugh Ford – [19/00332/FUL](#).**
- 2.2.1 The developer wrote ([Jan 2025 report](#)) to confirm that work on site will resume shortly, with completion currently expected end 2025.
- 2.2.2 Jun 2025 The development plot is said to be back on the market as the previous developer has run out of funding.
- 2.2.3 Jul 2025 Eildon aware but site does not fit development profile. SBHA report risk and asking price prohibit involvement.
- 2.2.4 [April 2026 correspondence on the portal with ADNR \(Architecture & Design by Neil Rodgers\)](#) indicates the development is restarting. The new developer gave us the following comment to share:
“Thank you for reaching out. We are delighted to confirm that Luminary Homes Limited has officially taken over the development at Horsbrugh North, Cardrona.
Since arriving on-site in March, our immediate priority has been to address the state in which the development was left by the previous owners. We understand that the site has been a cause of concern for local residents, and we have worked tirelessly with our subcontractors to ensure the area is now tidy, organised, and, most importantly, safe. This has involved a comprehensive audit of all previous works and extensive remedial activity to bring the site up to the high standards we demand.
This project is incredibly close to our hearts. Our Managing Director has deep family ties to the area, with roots in Eshiels and family still residing in Innerleithen. Furthermore, our Contracts Manager, Brian Grahame—who brings 41 years of industry experience following a long career at Cala Homes—is originally from Walkerburn.
We are now moving into an exciting new phase and plan to launch sales next week, with our show home expected to open in early summer. Our goal is not just to deliver a high-quality product, but to be a positive, active member of the community.
Please feel free to include this update in your report to the Peebles Community Council. We look forward to being a long-term, supportive neighbour in the Royal Burgh.
Kind regards,
Cameron Taylor
Director”


3.0 New Planning Applications

The following application is likely of interest to Community Councillors:

- 3.1 **[26/00647/FUL](#) – Erection of MOT service building – Peebles Autocentre George Street.** Car garage and sales centre.
- 3.1.1 The proposal is on ground currently used by the applicant, an established business, to carry on automotive operations.
- 3.1.2 Very little information is provided with the application.
- 3.1.3 Two objections refer to previous flooding on the site adjacent to Eddleston Water and call for Flood Risk Assessment. They also cite traffic, parking and noise problems.

Peebles & District Community Council Planning Report Thu 14 May 2026

- 3.1.4 [SEPA Flood maps](#) show the site at $\geq 10\%$ annual risk of flooding, thus an FRA appears to be required (NB: $\geq 0.5\%$ annual flood risk is considered to be within the flood plain, where a recommendation to approve triggers automatic escalation to ministers).



- 3.1.5 The applicant makes a 3-paragraph “Flooding Statement” on the Plans and Elevations, but this appears not to have been prepared by a qualified expert (and appears to misunderstand flood risk).
- 3.1.6 Given the proximity to Eddleston Water, i.e. the [River Tweed SAC](#), an Environmental Statement and Habitat Survey appear to be required from the applicant (but have not been provided) in order to inform SBC’s evaluations (such as Habitats Regulations Appraisal).
- 3.1.7 Given the site’s usage, history and flood risk, SBC may well require further assessments such as drainage/SUDS proposals and contaminated land assessments before determination.

No action is recommended on the following, subject to PCC agreement:

- 3.2 [26/00391/FUL](#) – **Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse and alterations to driveway – 13 Crossburn Farm Road.** Alter and extend house to provide utility room and to enlarge bedroom. Reposition boundary fence and enlarge parking area.
- 3.3 [26/00432/FUL](#) – **Change of use to form dog park providing activity and socialisation within fence enclosure – Land East of Kerfield Barn Innerleithen Road.** A secure dog park just outside Peebles, allowing owners to safely let dogs off the lead. The park will have high fencing dug into the ground to prevent escapes, creating a trusted, safe space for exercise and socialisation. Access to the park will be via a secure padlocked gate, with the code provided upon booking. Inside, a range of agility equipment will keep dogs active and engaged. A fresh water supply will also be available to ensure dogs remain hydrated and comfortable during their visit.
- 3.4 [26/00563/FUL](#) – **Erection of boundary wall and fence and alterations to dwellinghouse – Rose Lea 8 St Andrew’s Road.** Replace existing timber post and chain link boundary fence with new whinstone wall with

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

- sandstone cope and cast-iron railings, remove timber car port, replace glazed front door with traditional hardwood 6-panel storm doors, form new back door from existing window.
- 3.5 [26/00556/FUL](#) – **Extension to dwellinghouse – Wychwood 1 Springhill Road.** New Extension at rear to replace existing sunroom leading to new extended decking area. Change of front elevation living room window and main door.
- 3.6 [26/00569/FUL](#) & [26/00570/LBC](#) – **Alterations & extension to dwellinghouse – Kailzie West Lodge North.** Alterations to dwelling house, removal of existing conservatory and replacement Sunroom.
- 3.7 [26/00610/TCA](#) – **Work to trees – Riverside House Old Town.** Replant (replace) 2 x Sawara Cypress, Cherry, Pedunculate Oak and Rowan. Prune Sycamore, Norway Maple, Field Maple, Large Leaf Lime and Holly.
- 3.8 [26/00618/TPO](#) – **Work to trees – 1 Morning Hill.** Fell 2 x conifer (Korean Fir?). 7m from house, shade garden roots could damage foundations.
- 3.9 [26/00624/FUL](#) – **Installation of ground based solar photo voltaic array and associated work – Land South Of Bonnycraig Filter Station Bonnington Road.** Installation of solar PV panels and associated development. As part of Scottish Water Horizons' renewable and sustainability energy strategy it is proposed to install solar photovoltaic panels totalling 263kWp at Bonnycraigs Water Treatment Works (WTW). The proposed PV scheme at the existing site will generate 204,864kWh of electricity per annum, contributing to Scottish Water's target of meeting net zero by 2040. The utilisation of renewable generation consumed on site is 93.5%, with the remaining balance of 6.5% being exported to the grid annually.
- 3.10 [26/00633/FUL](#) – **Two storey extension to dwellinghouse – 5 Kingsmeadows Gardens.** Demolition of existing garage & erection of extension.
- 3.11 [26/00623/FUL](#) – **Installation of driveway gates – Kingsmuir House Glen Road.** No application form, but photos and drawings available.
- 3.12 [26/00592/FUL](#) – **Erection of detached garage – Woodside Glen Road.** Four-bay detached garage. Tree survey documents 29 trees.

A Subject to PCC agreement, no action is recommended for any of these minor applications (replacement windows, interior alterations or external redecoration):

- 3.13 [26/00694/HH](#) – **Application for high hedge notice – Belton Springhill Road.** The applicant complains of an overgrown hedge blocking light in garden and provides a statement outlining attempts to resolve the issue going back to March 2023. More info [High Hedges \(Scotland\) Act 2013: revised guidance 2019](#).

Certificates of Lawful Proposed Use (CLPU) ask whether the proposal is permitted development. Refusal means an application for planning permission will be required (refusal is not a comment on the acceptability of the proposal):

- 3.14 [26/00630/CLPU](#) – **External alterations to dwellinghouse – 4 Neidpath Place.** External alterations to rear elevation to enlarge existing window openings and install new sliding window and fixed window. Alteration to

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

gable elevation to infill existing door. (All in association with internal alterations to form open plan dining/kitchen/living space, part internal alteration of existing garage to form utility room and WC).

No recommendation (no information available on portal):

3.15 [26/00518/TCA](#) – **Work to trees – Priorsford House Tweed Avenue.**

4.0 Planning Applications – Wind Farms¹

Wind Farm applications ≥ 50 MW are decided by the Energy Consents Unit (ECU) under [section 36 of the Electricity Act](#), with [SBC acting as a consultee](#). The Scottish government recently [consulted on raising this threshold](#). PCC supports the proposal to increase the threshold (so that more renewable energy applications are decided locally by SBC) **but only if the corresponding portion of the ECU's budget is transferred to SBC.**

17 Feb 2026 Scotland's Court of Session recently upheld a very significant appeal [2026] CSIH 10 in the case of [Wull Muir Wind Farm](#) – **potentially impacting every renewable application in Scotland**. The wind farm had initially been refused by SBC before being approved by Scottish Ministers in January 2025. The appeal challenged whether it was lawful to approve the wind farm in the absence of grid connection information, arguing that the environmental impact of the wind farm had not been properly considered. The court agreed that this was a material error and quashed the wind farm's approval. [Read more on BBC News](#).

16 April 2026 following Raeshaw Farms' successful Wull Muir appeal, ECU appear to have written to every wind farm under consideration with a series of questions.

- What are the implications of the Raeshaw Farms judgment?
- Whether the wind farm and its grid connection are considered one project for the purposes of EIA?
 - If one project, have significant effects been considered in the EIA?
 - Otherwise has consideration been given to cumulative effects with grid?
- Are all likely significant effects identifiable at this stage?

All the wind farm projects listed below are impacted.

With respect to the [request to sign the Unified Statement on renewable energy](#), PCC endorses the [statement issued by Callum Kerr MSP](#) which admirably addresses the complex issues involved.

A **Renewable Energy Survey** has been prepared by the Peebles Town Team and is available <https://forms.cloud.microsoft/e/686LML6Kwd>. The survey will be promoted during Peebles Foodbank's *Tackling Poverty* conference, 21 May 2026. Subject to PCC's approval, the survey will be available until 5pm Fri 5 June, allowing data to be analysed in time for the next PCC meeting (see [news item](#)).

¹ Information on Community Benefits and Community Ownership available in the [Scottish Government Good Practice Principles](#) and the [Local Energy Scotland](#) website

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

- 4.1 [Leithenwater](#) – [24/00512/S36](#) / [ECU00004619](#) – SBC S36 deadline 2 Jul 2025. Awaiting decision.
- 4.1.1 5 Jul 2024 [RSPB requests](#) 3 turbines deleted or moved, disagreeing with EIA report findings on impact to golden eagle² and citing impact to (red-listed) Black Grouse and breeding Curlew.
 - 4.1.2 1 Aug 2024 landowner [Raeshaw Farms object](#), citing multiple NPF4 policy failures e.g. “policy [3 biodiversity] requirement for betterment”
 - 4.1.3 23 Oct 2024 [Belltown Power reject RSPB’s request](#) “NatureScot did not raise any concerns” (see [NatureScot’s advice](#) 15 Jul 2024).
 - 4.1.4 14 Nov 2024 [PCC supports Leithenwater](#), subject to the advice of SSGEP & RSPB being followed.
 - 4.1.5 18 Dec 2024 Scottish Rights of Way and Access Society ([ScotWays object](#)), asking turbines to be placed blade tip height [here 180-200m] from any road or public right of way – not 20m as proposed.
 - 4.1.6 31 Jan 2025 SBC Ecology Officer raises concerns re: golden eagles. Requests turbines 11-13 reduced in number or moved.
 - 4.1.7 6 May 2025 SBC Landscape Architect objects. Impacts on National Scenic Area (NSA) and surrounding Special Landscape Areas (SLAs) are unacceptable. Suggests reduction in turbine height, removal of turbines 11-13 and relocation of turbine 1.
 - 4.1.8 18 Dec 2025 Supplementary Environmental Information (SEI) from [LUC](#) on behalf of Belltown Power finds “No significant effects on golden eagle are predicted ... and the findings of Chapter 8 of the EIA Report remain unchanged”, disagreeing with RSPB, SBC’s ecologist and RUN (who previously advised of a substantive change to the golden eagle baseline).
 - 4.1.9 8 Jan 2026 Belltown power provide rebuttal of landscape officer’s assessment and choose to make no changes to turbine design. Amongst other arguments, the applicant says their offer of £5,000/MW index linked as Community Benefit would be reduced by over £100,000 a year with the removal of four turbines. This is misleading as the applicant only offers to index link the £5,000/MW *after* start-up, such that inflation erodes the value of community benefit by more in real terms than the £100,000 quoted.
 - 4.1.10 23 Jan 2026 The Ministry of Defence (MOD) maintains its objection due to the unacceptable impact on the operation and capability of the seismological recording station at Eskdalemuir, part of the UK contribution to the [Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty](#).
 - 4.1.11 5 Feb 2026 NatureScot advises that golden eagle are unlikely to be significantly affected by this proposed development (unchanged by the information presented in the SEI Report).
 - 4.1.12 16 April 2026 [Following Raeshaw Farms’ successful Wull Muir appeal](#), ECU wrote to Belltown Power UK Limited requesting further environmental information. An initial response was provided, with formal Additional Information (AI) expected in 8 weeks.
- 4.2 [Scawd Law](#) – [23/00013/S36](#) / [ECU00002111](#) – SBC S36 decision deadline 3 Mar 2026.

² Restoring Upland Nature (RUN) South of Scotland Golden Eagle Project (SSGEP) [golden eagle strikes Galloway wind farm](#)

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

[South of Scotland Golden Eagle Project](#) (SSGEP) [has objected](#) to the entire Scawd Law project on the grounds it is incompatible with the reintroduction of Eagles in the South of Scotland.

NB: It is an offence³ to interfere with Golden Eagle nests or prevent any wild bird from using its nest in Scotland.

Neighbouring Community Councils of [Clovenfords](#), [Heriot](#), [Stow](#) and [Walkerburn](#) object (issues include: need; harm to Eagles; damage to peat; visual impact; landscape). [Innerleithen](#) Community Council supports.

4.2.1 20 Feb 2023 [Walkerburn and District Community Council paper](#).

4.2.2 19 Sep 2023 [Rt Hon David Mundell MP objects](#).

4.2.3 2 Feb 2024 [NatureScot strongly advise turbines 7 & 8 removed](#) from ridge to protect golden eagles²/meet NPF4 biodiversity policy.

4.2.4 25 Feb 2025 Further environmental information (FEI) submitted re: impact to eagles and the potential removal of turbines 7 & 8.

4.2.5 8 May 2025 [PCC objects](#) on same grounds as SSGEP & other CCs

4.2.6 27 Jan 2026 Fred Olsen publishes “information note” to address consultee concerns. For example, SBC asked ECU to consider whether the consultant’s omission that they were owned by Fred Olsen (FORL) constitutes a conflict of interest. The “information note” says this is incorrect because FORL is owned by “Bonheur” – without disclosing that [Bonheur is controlled by Fred. Olsen & Co](#).

4.2.7 2 Feb 2026 SBC decision deadline extended until 3 Mar 2026.

4.2.8 16 Feb 2026 RUN object, estimating “1 eagle collision every 2.8 years or 14 over the 40 year operational life of project. To put this in context, this collision risk is greater than the total estimated collision risk from all the other 41 wind farms in the whole of the rest [of] the South of Scotland put together.”

4.2.9 2 Mar 2026 SBC objects on Local Landscape Designations and Renewable Energy Supplementary Planning Guidance, Landscape Capacity and Cumulative Impact, and impact on Golden Eagles.

4.2.10 16 April 2026 Following Raeshaw Farms’ successful Wull Muir appeal, ECU wrote requesting further environmental information. An initial response has been provided to ECU.

4.3 [Cloich Forest](#) – [21/01134/S36](#) / [ECU00003288](#) – Awaiting decision.

4.3.1 25 Apr 2023 SBC do not object provided Turbine 12 is relocated to a lower position within the site

4.3.2 2 Sep 2024 [Howgate Community Council](#) submissions

4.3.3 6 Sep 2024 [Midlothian Council objects](#) on biodiversity, landscape and transport (route pinch points) grounds especially at Howgate.

4.3.4 16 April 2026 Following Raeshaw Farms’ successful Wull Muir appeal, ECU wrote to Cloich Windfarm Partnership requesting further environmental information. An initial response was provided, with formal Additional Information (AI) expected in 8 weeks.

³ Section 1(1)(ba) of the [Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981](#) as it applies in Scotland, i.e. as amended by the [Nature Conservation \(Scotland\) Act 2004](#).

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

5.0 Apparently stalled Planning Applications

These planning applications appear to have stalled (they will be returned to section 2.0 if there is activity):

- 5.1 **Edderston Farm change of use to Events Venue** – [21/01327/FUL](#) – Awaiting decision. No change since 7 Feb 2024

6.0 Previous Planning Applications removed from report

No ongoing interest to PCC:

- 6.1 [26/00362/FUL](#) – Alterations to conservatory – Ingleside Formerly Known as Sideways Bonnington Road. Partial demolition of existing conservatory (uPVC structure above existing dwarf wall) and partial construction of new conservatory (replacement uPVC structure above existing dwarf wall). The proposed replacement conservatory structure to the same overall dimensions, footprint area and roof pitch as the existing.
- 6.2 [26/00401/LBC](#) – Alterations to form boiler flue terminal, extract ventilation terminal and drainage pipe (part retrospective) – The Courthouse Business Centre, High Street. Formation of 125mm cored holes through the wall for a boiler flue terminal, an extract ventilation terminal & a drainage pipe. The holes have been cored, but the services have yet to be installed. The error is wholly down to the applicant not remaining in control of the project. This was an oversight on behalf of the applicant. The cored stone has been retained and can be reinstated if instructed to do so.
- 6.3 [26/00423/TCA](#) – Work to trees – Grange Villa Frankscroft. Works to 8 trees. Remove overhanging branches yew and holly. Remove Holly and 2x Leylandii replace with beech hedge. Remove branches, lower 5m of beech. Remove beech. Remove unknown stump. Plant prunus.
- 6.4 [26/00419/FUL](#) & [26/00420/LBC](#) – Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse and installation of an EV charging post – The Lodge Kingsmuir Hall Bonnington Road. Removal of existing utility outbuilding and replacing with a garden room. Installation of an EV charging post adjacent to the driveway.
- 6.5 [26/00466/TCA](#) – Work to tree – Riverside Tweed Avenue. Fell one cedar. Close to house and blocks light.
- 6.6 [26/00534/FUL](#) – Erection of boundary fence – 25 Glen Road Peebles. New boundary fence.
- 6.7 [26/00542/TCA](#) – Work to tree – Riverside Tweed Avenue. Fell cedar close to dwelling.

Minor (replacement windows, interior alterations or external redecoration):

- 6.8 [26/00484/LBC](#) – Replacement windows – Graham Cottage 16 Elcho Street. Existing timber single glazed units to be replaced with timber double glazed units to match existing mullion and transom positions.

Peebles & District Community Council
Planning Report
Thu 14 May 2026

Permitted by SBC:

- 6.9 [26/00458/VAA](#) – Vehicular Access Application / dropped kerb – 92 Rosetta Road. [Permitted by SBC 8 Apr 2026. No information on portal.](#)

Refused by SBC:

- 6.10 [26/00464/CLPU](#) – Request for Certificate of Lawful Proposed Use (CLPU) for change of use from Class 1A (legal/estate agency office) to Class 3 café – 20 Northgate. No baking/cooking on site, no extraction proposed. [The proposed development would be a material change of use from Class 1A to Class 3 that is not General Permitted Development. Therefore, Planning Permission would be required.](#)

Michael Marshall, PhD
Planning Convener